# The subjective costs of young children: A European comparison

Sonja Spitzer | Vienna Institute of Demography Angela Greulich | Centre d'Economie de la Sorbonne and INED Bernhard Hammer | Vienna Institute of Demography



# Aim:

#### **Developing measures of child-related costs based on parents' self-reported ability to make ends meet**

- + Analysing the impact of children on parents' subjective economic wellbeing
- Disentangling subjective direct and indirect costs of children
- Evaluating how governments and households perform in compensating these costs
- Subjective measures are likely influenced by non-economic factors such as expectations and happiness

## Why is this relevant?

- The costs of raising children impact fertility and labour supply decisions
- European governments spend more and more to compensate these costs

#### Subjective economic wellbeing (SEW)

"A household may have different sources of income and more than one household member may contribute to it. Thinking of your household's total income, is your household able to make ends meet, namely, to pay for its usual necessary expenses?"

> Very easily [6] Easily [5] Fairly easily [4] With some difficulty [3] With difficulty [2] With great difficulty [1]

#### Children are costly....



years before and after the first birth was observed

Source: EU-SILC longitudinal data 2004-2015. This graph is based on the 5,994 couples in the sample that had their first child, but no additional child, during the observed period. In total, they provided 18,328 observations. SEW is set to 0 in the year before the birth was observed, which is why the confidence intervals at time -1 are not visible.

## **Cost components:** Total net cost = direct costs + indirect costs - benefits



Direct costs	Higher expenses for food, diapers, a bigger house, etc.
Indirect costs	Labour income losses (opportunity costs)
Benefits	Birth grants, parental and maternity leave payments, tax deductions, etc.

# **Research questions:**

- **1.** How does childbirth affect parents' SEW shortly after childbirth?
- 2. How do direct and indirect costs contribute to the change in SEW after childbirth?
- **3.** How do direct and indirect costs of children differ across European regions?
- **4.** Do family-related benefits compensate for the child costs occurring shortly after childbirth?



# **Data:**

#### EU-SILC longitudinal microdata for 30 countries

- Over 280,000 observations from over 120,000 households
- 2004 to 2015
- Couples with and without children
- Only couples living without additional adults
- Women aged 16-40, men aged > 16
- Maximum of four waves per couple



## **Country groups:**

Cost components vary by country due to different foci in family policies, and due to differences in norms, institutions, and macroeconomic conditions

Nordic	Denmark, Finland, Iceland, Norway, Sweden
Western	Belgium, France, Netherlands
German speaking	Austria, Switzerland
Liberal	Ireland, UK
Southern	Cyprus, Greece, Spain, Italy, Malta, Portugal
CEE	Bulgaria, Czech Republic, Estonia, Croatia, Hungary, Lithuania, Latvia, Poland, Romania, Serbia, Slovenia, Slovakia

#### **Example for varying cost components:**

Share of women in employment before and after the birth of their first child



years before and after the first birth was observed

Source: EU-SILC longitudinal data 2004-2015. This graph is based on the 5,994 couples in the sample that had their first child, but no additional child, during the observed period. In total, they provided 18,328 observations.

#### **Example for varying cost components:**

Share of women in employment before and after the birth of their first child

Very selective group: Women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child



years before and after the first birth was observed

Source: EU-SILC longitudinal data 2004-2015. This graph is based on the 5,994 couples in the sample that had their first child, but no additional child, during the observed period. In total, they provided 18,328 observations.

#### **Example for varying cost components:**

#### Share of women in employment before and after the birth of their first child

Very selective group: Women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child



years before and after the first birth was observed

Source: EU-SILC longitudinal data 2004-2015. This graph is based on the 5,994 couples in the sample that had their first child, but no additional child, during the observed period. In total, they provided 18,328 observations.

Very selective group: Couples with women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child

Time	Househ Absolute	<b>old inc.</b> Relative	<b>Labour</b> Absolute	<b>inc. women</b> Relative	<b>Labo</b> Absolute	<b>our inc. men</b> Relative	Benefits Absolute
Scandinavia	43,413	100.0%	23,827	100.0%	32,874	100.0%	248
1	44,327	102.1%	11,794	49.5%	34,100	103.7%	10,336
West							
-1 1	41,598 43,256	100.0% 104.0%	24,277 20,893	100.0% 86.1%	32,448 33,659	100.0% 103.7%	146 2,330
German							
-1 1	48,423 45,359	100.0% 93.7%	27,405 8,082	100.0% 29.5%	38,452 41,284	100.0% 107.4%	76 8,193
Liberal							
-1 1	51,161 48,274	100.0% 94.4%	29,665 21,473	100.0% 72.4%	40,356 37,745	100.0% 93.5%	112 4,032
South							
-1 1	36,738 38,459	100.0% 104.7%	17,912 14,629	100.0% 81.7%	26,094 28,272	100.0% 108.3%	229 1,699
CEE							
-1 1	25,992 27,327	100.0% 105.1%	13,815 5,350	100.0% 38.7%	18,765 20,604	100.0% 109.8%	21 6,638

Very selective group: Couples with women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child

Time	Househ	old inc.	Labour i	nc. womer	n Labo	Labour inc. men				
	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative	Absolute			
Scandinavia				_						
-1	43,413	100.0%	23,827	100.0%	32,874	100.0%	248			
1	44,327	102.1%	11,794	49.5%	34,100	103.7%	10,336			
West										
-1	41,598	100.0%	24,277	100.0%	32,448	100.0%	146			
1	43,256	104.0%	20,893	86.1%	33,659	103.7%	2,330			
German										
-1	48,423	100.0%	27,405	100.0%	38,452	100.0%	76			
1	45,359	93.7%	8,082	29.5%	41,284	107.4%	8,193			
Liberal										
-1	51,161	100.0%	29,665	100.0%	40,356	100.0%	112			
1	48,274	94.4%	21,473	72.4%	37,745	93.5%	4,032			
South										
-1	36,738	100.0%	17,912	100.0%	26,094	100.0%	229			
1	38,459	104.7%	14,629	81.7%	28,272	108.3%	1,699			
CEE										
-1	25,992	100.0%	13,815	100.0%	18,765	100.0%	21			
1	27,327	105.1%	5,350	38.7%	20,604	109.8%	6,638			

Very selective group: Couples with women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child

Time	Househo	Id inc.	Labour in	nc. women	Labo	ur inc. men	Benefits		
	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative	Absolute		
Scandinavia		_							
-1	43,413	100.0%	23,827	100.0%	32,874	100.0%	248		
1	44,327	102.1%	11,794	49.5%	34,100	103.7%	10,336		
West									
-1	41,598	100.0%	24,277	100.0%	32,448	100.0%	146		
1	43,256	104.0%	20,893	86.1%	33,659	103.7%	2,330		
German									
-1	48,423	100.0%	27,405	100.0%	38,452	100.0%	76		
1	45,359	93.7%	8,082	29.5%	41,284	107.4%	8,193		
Liberal									
-1	51,161	100.0%	29,665	100.0%	40,356	100.0%	112		
1	48,274	94.4%	21,473	72.4%	37,745	93.5%	4,032		
South									
-1	36,738	100.0%	17,912	100.0%	26,094	100.0%	229		
1	38,459	104.7%	14,629	81.7%	28,272	108.3%	1,699		
CEE									
-1	25,992	100.0%	13,815	100.0%	18,765	100.0%	21		
1	27,327	105.1%	5,350	38.7%	20,604	109.8%	6,638		

Very selective group: Couples with women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child

Time	Househ	old inc.	Labour	inc. women	Labou	Labour inc. men		
	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	Relative	Absolute	
Scandinavia						_		
-1	43,413	100.0%	23,827	100.0%	32,874	100.0%	248	
1	44,327	102.1%	11,794	49.5%	34,100	103.7%	10,336	
West								
-1	41,598	100.0%	24,277	100.0%	32,448	100.0%	146	
1	43,256	104.0%	20,893	86.1%	33,659	103.7%	2,330	
German								
-1	48,423	100.0%	27,405	100.0%	38,452	100.0%	76	
1	45,359	93.7%	8,082	29.5%	41,284	107.4%	8,193	
Liberal								
-1	51,161	100.0%	29,665	100.0%	40,356	100.0%	112	
1	48,274	94.4%	21,473	72.4%	37,745	93.5%	4,032	
South								
-1	36,738	100.0%	17,912	100.0%	26,094	100.0%	229	
1	38,459	104.7%	14,629	81.7%	28,272	108.3%	1,699	
CEE								
-1	25,992	100.0%	13,815	100.0%	18,765	100.0%	21	
1	27,327	105.1%	5,350	38.7%	20,604	109.8%	6,638	

Very selective group: Couples with women between 16 and 40 in stable relationships that will have their first child soon / just had their first child

Time	Househ Absolute	<b>old inc.</b> Relative	Labour inc. women Absolute Relative		<b>Labo</b> Absolute	Labour inc. men Absolute Relative		
Scandinavia -1 1	43,413 44,327	100.0% 102.1%	23,827 11,794	100.0% 49.5%	0% 32,874 100.0% % 34,100 103.7%		248 10,336	
West -1 1	41,598 43,256	100.0% 104.0%	24,277 20,893	100.0% 86.1%	32,448 33,659	100.0% 103.7%	146 2,330	
German -1 1	48,423 45,359	100.0% 93.7%	27,405 8,082	100.0% 29.5%	38,452 41,284	100.0% 107.4%	76 8,193	
Liberal -1 1	51,161 48,274	100.0% 94.4%	29,665 21,473	100.0% 72.4%	40,356 37,745	100.0% 93.5%	112 4,032	
South -1 1	36,738 38,459	100.0% 104.7%	17,912 14,629	100.0% 81.7%	26,094 28,272	100.0% 108.3%	229 1,699	
CEE -1 1	25,992 27,327	100.0% 105.1%	13,815 5,350	100.0% 38.7%	18,765 20,604	100.0% 109.8%	21 6,638	

#### **General model**

#### SEW<sub>jt</sub> = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ CHILDREN<sub>jt</sub> + $\beta_2 X_{jt} + \beta_3$ INCOME<sub>jt</sub> + $\mu_t + \alpha_j + \varepsilon_{jt}$

CHILDREN<sub>jt</sub> X<sub>jt</sub> INCOME<sub>jt</sub> <sup>µ</sup>t <sup>α</sup>j <sup>ε</sup>jt

number of children in household j at time t control variables age, age squared, and health total net household income or labour income of both partners time fixed effect time-constant error term (individual fixed effect) error term, varies with household and time

## **General model**

Includes couples with 0, 1, 2, 3, 4+ children

#### SEW<sub>jt</sub> = $\beta_0 + \beta_1$ CHILDREN<sub>jt</sub> + $\beta_2 X_{jt} + \beta_3$ INCOME<sub>jt</sub> + $\mu_t + \alpha_j + \varepsilon_{jt}$

CHILDREN<sub>jt</sub> X<sub>jt</sub> INCOME<sub>jt</sub> <sup>µ</sup>t <sup>α</sup>j <sup>ε</sup>jt

number of children in household j at time t control variables age, age squared, and health total net household income or labour income of both partners time fixed effect time-constant error term (individual fixed effect) error term, varies with household and time

## Disentangling direct and indirect costs T = d + i - b



## Disentangling direct and indirect costs Model 1: Total net cost





T = d + i - b

 $\beta_{1,1} = d + i - b$ 

### **Disentangling direct and indirect costs Model 1: Total net cost**

$$SEW_{jt} = \beta_0 + \beta_{1.1} CHILDREN_{jt} + \beta_2 X_{jt} + \mu_t + \alpha_j + \varepsilon_{jt}$$

## Total net cost = direct costs + indirect costs - benefits

 $\beta_{1.1}$  = direct costs + indirect costs - benefits

## Disentangling direct and indirect costs Model 2: Direct costs



## Disentangling direct and indirect costs Model 2: Direct costs

SEW<sub>jt</sub> =  $\beta_0 + \beta_{1,2}$  CHILDREN<sub>jt</sub> +  $\beta_2 X_{jt} + \beta_3$  HOUSEHOLD INCOME<sub>jt</sub> +  $\mu_t + \alpha_j + \varepsilon_{jt}$ 

HOUSEHOLD INCOME = LABOUR INCOME + BENEFITS

 $\beta_{1.2}$  = direct costs



SEW<sub>jt</sub> =  $\beta_0 + \beta_{1.3}$  CHILDREN<sub>jt</sub> +  $\beta_2 X_{jt} + \beta_3$  LABOUR INCOME<sub>jt</sub> +  $\mu_t + \alpha_j + \varepsilon_{jt}$ 

 $\beta_{1,3}$  = direct costs - benefits



Total cost from Model 1: Direct costs from Model 2: Auxiliary from Model 3:

$$\beta_{1.1} = d + i - b$$
  

$$\beta_{1.2} = d$$
  

$$\beta_{1.3} = d - b$$

Total cost from Model 1: Direct costs from Model 2: Auxiliary from Model 3:

$$\beta_{1.1} = d + i - b$$
  
$$\beta_{1.2} = d$$
  
$$\beta_{1.3} = d - b$$

By rearranging T = d + i - b and inserting the estimation coefficients  $\beta_{1,1}$  and  $\beta_{1,3}$ , we can now calculate the indirect costs of children

$$T = d + i - b$$
  

$$i = T - (d - b)$$
  

$$i = \beta_{1.1} - \beta_{1.3}$$

#### **Estimation methods: linear and ordered outcomes**

#### **1.** Linear fixed effects model with OLS

2. "Blow-up and cluster" (BUC) estimator (Baetschmann et al. 2011)
 (i) Recode SEW into k-1 different dichotomisations based on k-1 thresholds ("blow up")

(ii) Apply conditional logit estimation with clustered standard errors

## **Results**

Cost components of first-order children in the first years after their birth

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	
	Total net cost	Direct costs	Auxiliary	Indirect costs
	β <sub>1.1</sub>	β <sub>1.2</sub>	β <sub>1.3</sub>	$\beta_{1.1} - \beta_{1.3}$
Nordic	0.261	0.248	0.177	0.084
Western	0.238	0.238	0.235	0.003
German speaking	0.270	0.261	0.237	0.033
Liberal	0.180	0.180	0.179	0.001
Southern	0.092	0.096	0.082	0.010
CEE	0.190	0.188	0.139	0.051

### Conclusion

■ The birth of a child reduces parents' SEW

**Economies of scales:** first child is costliest

#### Direct costs

- Dominate drop in SEW
- ➡ Highest in high-income regions

#### Indirect costs

- ➡ Vary substantially by region, depending on maternal employment patterns
- Mothers' wage losses are compensated for by other income components

## Limitations

- Do expectations or general wellbeing change with the birth of a child? How does this influence SEW?
- Long term effects of children on SEW? Or adaptation?
- Self-selection into parenthood?



# Questions? Suggestions?

This project has received funding from the Austrian Federal Ministry of Science, Research and Economy (BMWFW) and the French Agence nationale de la recherche (Award no. ANR-16-MYBL-0001-02), in the framework of the Joint Programming Initiative (JPI) "More Years, Better Lives – The Challenges and Opportunities of Demographic Change".



# Appendix

Panel duration period																	—
Calendar year		1st y	1st year			2nd	2nd year			3rd year			4th year				
Quarters	q1 q2 q3 q4	q1	q2	q3	q4	q1	q2	q3	q4	q1	q2	q3	q4	q1	q2	q3	q4
Income reference period	IRP 1	IRP 2				IRP 3				IRP 4				J			
Interview waves			w1				w2	J			w3				w4		
Variables collected			SEW a	t w1 e at IRP	91		SEW a Incom Births	at w2 ne at IRI btw. w	P 2 1 and v	v2	SEW a Incom Births	t w3 e at IRF btw. w	9 3 2 and v	v3	SEW a Incom Births	t w4 e at IRP btw. w3	4 3 and w4
Example I			l	Birth													
Example II					l	Birth					-						
Time			(t) -1			-	(t) 0				(t) +				(t) +2	2	